

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 JAMIE KHRIES,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 THE BOEING COMPANY,

12 Defendant.

NO. C17-1641RSL


ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY
JUDGMENT AND UNSEALING
DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 16

13 This matter comes before the Court on “The Boeing Company’s Motion for Summary
14 Judgment” (Dkt. # 31) and “Motion to Seal ‘Deposition Exhibit 16’ in Support of its Motion for
15 Summary Judgment (Dkt. # 35). Plaintiff has not opposed the motions. The Court, having
16 reviewed the motions and the evidence provided by defendants, finds that summary judgment is
17 appropriate and that motion is therefore GRANTED. The Court declines to seal Deposition
18 Exhibit 16, however. Boeing relies upon and publicly reveals certain provisions of the exhibit.
19 Having breached the confidentiality agreement to expose provisions it deems favorable, Boeing
20 cannot insist on keeping less favorable provisions confidential.
21
22

23 For all of the foregoing reasons, the Clerk of Court is directed to unseal Dkt. # 36 and
24 enter judgment in favor of defendant and against plaintiff.
25
26

27 ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY
28 JUDGMENT AND UNSEALING
DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 16- 1

1 Dated this 23rd day of August, 2019.

2 

3 Robert S. Lasnik
4 United States District Judge
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY
28 JUDGMENT AND UNSEALING
DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 16- 2